10 MASTERING TRANSACTIONS / ECONOMIC NATIONALISM

Fighting economic nationalism in deals

Many companies do not properly consider how national governments protect key stakeholders, such as shareholders and workers. Laurence Capron and Mauro Guillén on why they should

he recent battle over steelmaker Arcelor is a vivid example of how the various stakeholders in a company shareholders, managers, employees and governments - have come to play a key role in transactions. Mittal's CEO, Lakshmi Mittal, recently admitted that he had learned a great deal from the protracted (and ultimately successful) six-month bid for Arcelor. He described it as a "boxing match", and recognised that "in America, it is just about shareholder value and profits", whereas "in Europe, values, culture and tradition are important". When it comes to M&As, the world is anything but flat.

The Mittal-Arcelor battle inspired an exceptional media frenzy, but it is not an isolated case. In countries such as Germany and Japan, where labour rights are relatively well protected, the rise of an active market for corporate control means an M&A has important consequences, such as a conflict of interests between shareholders and employees during postacquisition restructuring. By contrast, in countries in which shareholder rights reign supreme, such as the US, the UK and Canada, the market for corporate control has become one of the most important ways to re-allocate assets and restructure companies.

Professor Guillén and Professor William Schneper of Florida International University recently studied hostile takeovers in 37 countries, which clearly showed how much national corporate governance traditions matter. They found that between 1988 and 2003, 478 hostile takeover attempts were announced in the US and 273 in the UK, compared with only 19 in France, 18 in Norway, seven in Germany, three each in Japan and Malaysia, and just one in Chile. In other words, fewer takeover attempts occurred in countries with more protective labour rights and in which banks are more likely to own shares in non-financial companies. Takeovers were also less frequent in countries where minority shareholder protection rules, such as one-share-one-vote and cumulative or proportional voting to designate directors to the board, are

The particular difficulties of cross-border M&As

Cross-border M&As have always been especially difficult. In 1990, when the Italian tyre manufacturer Pirelli

not standard for corporate governance.

attempted to acquire its German comnetitor Continental the deal faltered mainly due to a rule in the target's charter that imposed a 5 per cent limit on the voting rights that could be exercised by any one shareholder. After Pirelli and several other allies lobbied investors. Continental's shareholders passed a motion to remove this restriction, but the change was never implemented because of a string of courtroom challenges and appeals filed by both sides of the conflict.

Also in 1990, the well-known takeover specialist T Boone Pickens was unable to secure a position on the board of directors of Japan's Koito Manufacturing Company - and poten tially initiate an unwelcome corporate reorganisation – despite a 26.3 per cent equity stake. Many observers attributed Mr Pickens's failure to the relatively low sense of fiduciary duty

The playing field for cross-border acquisitions is not level, even when there is no political interference

and their shareholders, and to the fact that Japanese managers tend to be evaluated more for their ability to sustain long-term relationships with key stakeholders - major shareholders, workers, suppliers and creditors – than for maximising shareholder

Within the eurozone, after years of market and monetary integration, barriers persist, especially in the services and infrastructure sectors. Even in countries like the UK, where the rules are transparent and outsiders enjoy a relatively level playing field, acquirers often face tremendous scrutiny and criticism from the press. For instance, the announcement by Spanish bank Santander of its intention to purchase the UK's Abbey National in 2004, triggered an overwhelmingly hostile response from the UK press.

The takeover raised a host of thorny issues, compounded by the fact that it was an all-share deal. For starters, some UK institutional investors in Abbey could not participate in the swap because they were not authorised to own non-UK shares. Many individual

investors, meanwhile, were worried that Santander's shares were not traded in London at the time. Moreover. British investors were faced with the possibility of income, capital gains and inheritance tax liabilities in Spain as well as the UK.

It is revealing, however, that Spanish companies such as Santander, Ferrovial and Telefónica have so far conducted their major cross-border acquisitions in the UK, across a monetary boundary rather than in the eurozone, where the constraints and the remnants of economic nationalism continue to pose more formidable

Eon, the German energy group, has complained about the conditions imposed by the Spanish regulator regarding its tender offer for Endesa, a Spanish energy company, and the European Commission has sided with the acquirer. The Spanish government, while opposed to the proposed acquisition, has few legal mechanisms at its disposal to derail it. The situation changed again when Spanish construction and energy group Acciona acquired 13.7 per cent of Endesa, further reducing the odds of a foreign takeover.

Meanwhile, in neighbouring France, the government has orchestrated a merger between energy groups Suez and Gaz de France to deter foreign companies from launching takeover bids, a move that has generated criticism across Europe. And both the Spanish and Germans have complained about Italian nationalism when it comes to takeovers of the latter's banks, which are not particularly competitive or well capitalised. The difficulties facing Spanish concession group Abertis in its quest to take over Italy's Autostrade further illustrates the influence of economic nationalism

It seems that every country in Europe has reason to complain about somebody else's economic nationalism, but not everyone is willing to look in the mirror and recognise their own wrongdoings. In spite of the harmonisation efforts of the EU, what the Santander-Abbey episode clearly showed was that the playing field for crossborder acquisitions is not level, even when there is no political interference.

Different countries require different approaches

The market for corporate control varies significantly depending on the country. All manner of differences.

ranging from governance practices to ting on functions that are centralised tax regulations, stand in the way of by GE, such as telephone customer successful transactions.

Helen Callaghan of Northwestern Uni- customer retention. takeover barriers than countries profits. that promoted a shareholder-oriented model of corporate governance, such Labour rights as the UK or Ireland.

Particularly striking were the Shareholder rights, however, are only likely to persist in the near future. In Germany, Belgium, the Netherrather than on capital markets.

Targeting similar countries

Given these obstacles, potential acquirers are choosing their targets carefully. In general, acquirers prefer to target companies in the countries Where you acquire that are most similar to their own.

Analysing data on over 20,000 deals, may end up being Prof Schneper found that cultural disdisparities in labour regulations as important a reduce the number of cross-border M&As. He also concluded that in countries where governments are able to unilaterally intervene in company pol- what you acquire icv, cross-border deals are less likely Not surprisingly, companies prefer to avoid such political uncertainties.

is a reasonable strategy in principle, of other stakeholders? acquirers should not limit their search too strictly to the most shareholder- assessing the strength of the workfriendly countries. Indeed, it is in force is Nestle's 1992 acquisition of countries with weaker shareholder Perrier for \$2.7bn, which remains the protection that the most attractive tar-least profitable of its bottled water gets are often found. This is because brands. More than 90 per cent of Perweak shareholder protection means rier's workforce is affiliated with the that, from the point of view of the own- CGT, a powerful French trade union ers, there is ample scope to improve which has fiercely opposed job cuts

found that when the acquirer was from French government. a country with a strong shareholder
This trend indicates that worker rights regime, it was more likely that power cannot be ignored. In our study

the target's R&D, manufacturing and sales network would be downsized. This, in turn, ultimately increased the post-acquisition cost efficiency of the deal. Furthermore, such acquirers were likely to be subject to greater pressure to ensure the acquisition delivers

Target restructuring

Target restructuring is often seen as an effective way of delivering rapid results to the acquirer's shareholders. General Electric, for example, is considered a serial acquirer that has managed to put pressure on its acquired ousinesses to deliver quick results During the 19-year tenure of CEO Jack Welch, the company completed more than 1,000 acquisitions and enjoyed a 62-fold increase in its share price.

Consider the rapid growth of GE Consumer Finance, which has been fuelled by a string of acquisitions. The key elements of its successful integration process include: rapid cost cutservice: the quick transfer of GE's key For example, in a 2001 study of the competences to the acquired busipolitical support among MEPs for a nesses; and the preservation of local more liberal EU takeover directive, commercial skills that are vital for

versity and Martin Hopner of the Max As a result of its ability to restruc-Planck Institute for the Study of Soci- ture and grow acquired companies, eties found strong differences between the business has been transformed countries in line with the national from a one-product, US-only operation variations of market capitalism. Thus, into a multi-product organisation countries that promoted a stake- spanning 46 countries. It is now the holder-oriented model of corporate second largest provider of personal governance, such as Germany or Ausloans worldwide and, in 2005, genertria, were more resistant to removing ated 18 per cent of GE's total operating

marked differences in national levels part of the story. Many countries with of support for the directive, with more weak shareholder protection have than 90 per cent of all British dele-strong labour rights. In such markets gates voting for it, and more than 90 post-acquisition restructuring to furper cent of German delegates voting ther the interests of shareholders against it. Indeed, such barriers are might be more difficult to implement.

with the 2004 addition of ten new mem- lands and Greece, for example, the ber states from central and eastern acquirer needs to examine carefully Europe whose corporate governance the balance of power between sharesystems rely on bank monitoring holders and employees to determine whether post-acquisition restructuring can actually produce the expected gains. In other words, can a target that promises strong future performance

be restructured to fulfil its potential. While shunning difficult countries even if it means going against the will

An example of the importance of and other restructuring measures, In a recent analysis of 253 M&As, we even in the face of pressure from the

better protected the rights of the target company's employees, the less likely the target's R&D, manufacturing or sales networks will be downsized. Sim ilarly, the target is less likely to receive resource transfers of technology, marketing or management from the acquirer during the post-acquisition process. Perhaps as a consequence of these effects, our data indicate that the better protected the rights of the employees in the target country, the

of the 253 M&As, we found that the

and cost efficiency The packaging industry, for example, is a sector that has been consolidating over the past two decades. Companies had built large plants to take advantage of economies of scale, but the decision of customers to reduce the number of packaging suppliers left plants running underutilised

worse the post-acquisition perform-

ance in terms of market share, sales

One US company, Crown Cork & Seal, acquired competitors, shut down underutilised plants and switched production to other sites to realise lower unit costs. The company was then able to access their target's customers while lowering overhead and unit production costs. But while such an aggressive turnaround strategy was effective with US-based acquisitions, such as Continental Can, the company encountered institutional hurdles when integrating CarnauldMetalbox, whose main operations were in Europe. The key challenge was dealing with the regulatory and operating environments in Europe. The company had a difficult time reducing CarnauldMetalbox's selling, general and administrative expenses because of the

strength of labour legislation and the heterogeneity of packaging in Europe.

Concluding a deal is only the first step in what can be a long and difficult post-acquisition process of reorganisation and restructuring. Despite the Arcelor success, Mr Mittal's "learning" journey in Europe is far from over. In the US, where labour is relatively powerless, Mr Mittal did not face serious problems after acquiring Inland Steel in 1998 and Bethlelem

Continental Europe, however, is a different story. Mittal is likely to have serious problems when it comes to integrating, reorganising, restructuring and re-allocating resources across the various sites of Arcelor, itself the result of a difficult merger of steel companies across several countries.

famously put it in his book. *Economics*. M&As are "one of nature's methods of eliminating deadwood in the struggle for survival". It is important to understand, however, that power processes drive the selection of takeover targets, the likelihood of a deal being completed and the post-acquisition process of restructuring.

Our message is straightforward. As

Nobel laureate Paul Samuelson

Andy Martin/Inkshed

In the short term, the probability of a conflict of interests between the new target company is high. Therefore, an acquirer must assess the strength of the various stakeholders in order to understand how the process might ultimately unfold.

Given the national differences in the extent to which stakeholder rights are protected, where you acquire may end up being as important a consideration as what you acquire.

Your guide to **Mastering Transactions**

Week 1 September 29

- Introduction The role of price
- Leveraged buyouts
- Performance measurement
- Banking and transactions

Week 2 October 6

- Managing cultural differences
- Cross-border regulation
- M&As in China
- Why takeovers build value Connecting IPOs and M&As

Week 3 October 13

- The economic structure of transactions
- Risk and deals
- The effect of national governments
- Cross-border pension risks
- Acquisition strategy

- Week 4 October 20
- Corporate unbundling
- Shareholder relations
- Share buybacks The human component of deals
- Jumped deals



Laurence Capron is an associate professor of strategy at Insead. Her research deals with M&As, corporate strategy and governance.



Zandman Professor of International Management a the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. His research focuses on the social. cultural and political aspects of international expansion.